

Geometry & Topology Monographs  
 Volume 3: Invitation to higher local fields  
 Part II, section 5, pages 255–262

## 5. Harmonic analysis on algebraic groups over two-dimensional local fields of equal characteristic

*Mikhail Kapranov*

In this section we review the main parts of a recent work [4] on harmonic analysis on algebraic groups over two-dimensional local fields.

### 5.1. Groups and buildings

Let  $K$  ( $K = K_2$  whose residue field is  $K_1$  whose residue field is  $K_0$ , see the notation in section 1 of Part I) be a two-dimensional local field of equal characteristic. Thus  $K_2$  is isomorphic to the Laurent series field  $K_1((t_2))$  over  $K_1$ . It is convenient to think of elements of  $K_2$  as (formal) loops over  $K_1$ . Even in the case where  $\text{char}(K_1) = 0$ , it is still convenient to think of elements of  $K_1$  as (generalized) loops over  $K_0$  so that  $K_2$  consists of double loops.

Denote the residue map  $\mathcal{O}_{K_2} \rightarrow K_1$  by  $p_2$  and the residue map  $\mathcal{O}_{K_1} \rightarrow K_0$  by  $p_1$ . Then the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$  as of a two-dimensional local field (see subsection 1.1 of Part I) coincides with  $p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})$ .

Let  $G$  be a split simple simply connected algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Z}$  (e.g.  $G = SL_2$ ). Let  $T \subset B \subset G$  be a fixed maximal torus and Borel subgroup of  $G$ ; put  $N = [B, B]$ , and let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $G$ . All of them are viewed as group schemes.

Let  $L = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$  be the coweight lattice of  $G$ ; the Weyl group acts on  $L$ .

Recall that  $I(K_1) = p_1^{-1}(B(\mathbb{F}_q))$  is called an Iwahori subgroup of  $G(K_1)$  and  $T(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})N(K_1)$  can be seen as the “connected component of unity” in  $B(K_1)$ . The latter name is explained naturally if we think of elements of  $B(K_1)$  as being loops with values in  $B$ .

**Definition.** Put

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= p_2^{-1} p_1^{-1}(B(\mathbb{F}_q)) \subset G(O_K), \\ D_1 &= p_2^{-1}(T(\mathcal{O}_{K_1})N(K_1)) \subset G(O_K), \\ D_2 &= T(\mathcal{O}_{K_2})N(K_2) \subset G(K). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $D_2$  can be seen as the “connected component of unity” of  $B(K)$  when  $K$  is viewed as a two-dimensional local field,  $D_1$  is a (similarly understood) connected component of an Iwahori subgroup of  $G(K_2)$ , and  $D_0$  is called a double Iwahori subgroup of  $G(K)$ .

A choice of a system of local parameters  $t_1, t_2$  of  $K$  determines the identification of the group  $K^*/O_K^*$  with  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  and identification  $L \oplus L$  with  $L \otimes (K^*/O_K^*)$ .

We have an embedding of  $L \otimes (K^*/O_K^*)$  into  $T(K)$  which takes  $a \otimes (t_1^j t_2^j)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , to the value on  $t_1^i t_2^j$  of the 1-parameter subgroup in  $T$  corresponding to  $a$ .

Define the action of  $W$  on  $L \otimes (K^*/O_K^*)$  as the product of the standard action on  $L$  and the trivial action on  $K^*/O_K^*$ . The semidirect product

$$\widehat{\widehat{W}} = (L \otimes K^*/O_K^*) \rtimes W$$

is called the *double affine Weyl group* of  $G$ .

A (set-theoretical) lifting of  $W$  into  $G(O_K)$  determines a lifting of  $\widehat{\widehat{W}}$  into  $G(K)$ .

**Proposition.** For every  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  there is a disjoint decomposition

$$G(K) = \bigcup_{w \in \widehat{\widehat{W}}} D_i w D_j.$$

The identification  $D_i \backslash G(K) / D_j$  with  $\widehat{\widehat{W}}$  doesn't depend on the choice of liftings.

*Proof.* Iterated application of the Bruhat, Bruhat–Tits and Iwasawa decompositions to the local fields  $K_2, K_1$ .

For the Iwahori subgroup  $I(K_2) = p_2^{-1}(B(K_1))$  of  $G(K_2)$  the homogeneous space  $G(K)/I(K_2)$  is the “affine flag variety” of  $G$ , see [5]. It has a canonical structure of an ind-scheme, in fact, it is an inductive limit of projective algebraic varieties over  $K_1$  (the closures of the affine Schubert cells).

Let  $B(G, K_2/K_1)$  be the *Bruhat–Tits building* associated to  $G$  and the field  $K_2$ . Then the space  $G(K)/I(K_2)$  is a  $G(K)$ -orbit on the set of flags of type (vertex, maximal cell) in the building. For every vertex  $v$  of  $B(G, K_2/K_1)$  its locally finite Bruhat–Tits building  $\beta_v$  isomorphic to  $B(G, K_1/K_0)$  can be viewed as a “microbuilding” of the *double Bruhat–Tits building*  $B(G, K_2/K_1/K_0)$  of  $K$  as a two-dimensional local field constructed by Parshin ([7], see also section 3 of Part II). Then the set  $G(K)/D_1$  is identified naturally with the set of all the horocycles  $\{w \in \beta_v : d(z, w) = r\}$ ,  $z \in \partial\beta_v$  of the microbuildings  $\beta_v$  (where the “distance”  $d(z, \cdot)$  is viewed as an element of

a natural  $L$ -torsor). The fibres of the projection  $G(K)/D_1 \rightarrow G(K)/I(K_2)$  are  $L$ -torsors.

## 5.2. The central extension and the affine Heisenberg–Weyl group

According to the work of Steinberg, Moore and Matsumoto [6] developed by Brylinski and Deligne [1] there is a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow K_1^* \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G(K_2) \rightarrow 1$$

associated to the tame symbol  $K_2^* \times K_2^* \rightarrow K_1^*$  for the couple  $(K_2, K_1)$  (see subsection 6.4.2 of Part I for the general definition of the tame symbol).

**Proposition.** *This extension splits over every  $D_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Use Matsumoto's explicit construction of the central extension.

Thus, there are identifications of every  $D_i$  with a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Put

$$\Delta_i = \mathcal{O}_{K_1}^* D_i \subset \Gamma, \quad \Xi = \Gamma / \Delta_1.$$

The minimal integer scalar product  $\Psi$  on  $L$  and the composite of the tame symbol  $K_2^* \times K_2^* \rightarrow K_1^*$  and the discrete valuation  $v_{K_1}: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  induces a  $W$ -invariant skew-symmetric pairing  $L \otimes K^*/\mathcal{O}_K^* \times L \otimes K^*/\mathcal{O}_K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Let

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow L \otimes K^*/\mathcal{O}_K^* \rightarrow 1$$

be the central extension whose commutator pairing corresponds to the latter skew-symmetric pairing. The group  $\mathcal{L}$  is called the *Heisenberg group*.

**Definition.** The semidirect product

$$\widetilde{W} = \mathcal{L} \rtimes W$$

is called the *double affine Heisenberg–Weyl group* of  $G$ .

**Theorem.** *The group  $\widetilde{W}$  is isomorphic to  $L_{\text{aff}} \rtimes \widehat{W}$  where  $L_{\text{aff}} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus L$ ,  $\widehat{W} = L \rtimes W$  and*

$$w \circ (a, l') = (a, w(l)), \quad l \circ (a, l') = (a + \Psi(l, l'), l'), \quad w \in W, \quad l, l' \in L, \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

*For every  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  there is a disjoint union*

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \Delta_i w \Delta_j$$

*and the identification  $\Delta_i \backslash \Gamma / \Delta_j$  with  $\widetilde{W}$  is canonical.*

### 5.3. Hecke algebras in the classical setting

Recall that for a locally compact group  $\Gamma$  and its compact subgroup  $\Delta$  the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$  can be defined as the algebra of compactly supported double  $\Delta$ -invariant continuous functions of  $\Gamma$  with the operation given by the convolution with respect to the Haar measure on  $\Gamma$ . For  $C = \Delta\gamma\Delta \in \Delta \backslash \Gamma / \Delta$  the Hecke correspondence  $\Sigma_C = \{(\alpha\Delta, \beta\Delta) : \alpha\beta^{-1} \in C\}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $(\Gamma/\Delta) \times (\Gamma/\Delta)$ .

For  $x \in \Gamma/\Delta$  put  $\Sigma_C(x) = \Sigma_C \cap (\Gamma/\Delta) \times \{x\}$ . Denote the projections of  $\Sigma_C$  to the first and second component by  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma/\Delta)$  be the space of continuous functions  $\Gamma/\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The operator

$$\tau_C: \mathcal{F}(\Gamma/\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Gamma/\Delta), \quad f \rightarrow \pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(f)$$

is called the *Hecke operator* associated to  $C$ . Explicitly,

$$(\tau_C f)(x) = \int_{y \in \Sigma_C(x)} f(y) d\mu_{C,x},$$

where  $\mu_{C,x}$  is the  $\text{Stab}(x)$ -invariant measure induced by the Haar measure. Elements of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$  can be viewed as “continuous” linear combinations of the operators  $\tau_C$ , i.e., integrals of the form  $\int \phi(C) \tau_C dC$  where  $dC$  is some measure on  $\Delta \backslash \Gamma / \Delta$  and  $\phi$  is a continuous function with compact support. If the group  $\Delta$  is also open (as is usually the case in the  $p$ -adic situation), then  $\Delta \backslash \Gamma / \Delta$  is discrete and  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta)$  consists of finite linear combinations of the  $\tau_C$ .

### 5.4. The regularized Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta_1)$

Since the two-dimensional local field  $K$  and the ring  $O_K$  are not locally compact, the approach of the previous subsection would work only after a new appropriate integration theory is available.

The aim of this subsection is to make sense of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta_1)$ .

Note that the fibres of the projection  $\Xi = \Gamma/\Delta_1 \rightarrow G(K)/I(K_2)$  are  $L_{\text{aff}}$ -torsors and  $G(K)/I(K_2)$  is the inductive limit of compact (profinite) spaces, so  $\Xi$  can be considered as an object of the category  $\mathcal{F}_1$  defined in subsection 1.2 of the paper of Kato in this volume.

Using Theorem of 5.2 for  $i = j = 1$  we introduce:

**Definition.** For  $(w, l) \in \widetilde{W} = L_{\text{aff}} \rtimes \widehat{W}$  denote by  $\Sigma_{w,l}$  the Hecke correspondence (i.e., the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $\Xi \times \Xi$ ) associated to  $(w, l)$ . For  $\xi \in \Xi$  put

$$\Sigma_{w,l}(\xi) = \{\xi' : (\xi, \xi') \in \Sigma_{w,l}\}.$$

The stabilizer  $\text{Stab}(\xi) \leq \Gamma$  acts transitively on  $\Sigma_{w,l}(\xi)$ .

**Proposition.**  $\Sigma_{w,l}(\xi)$  is an affine space over  $K_1$  of dimension equal to the length of  $w \in \widehat{W}$ . The space of complex valued Borel measures on  $\Sigma_{w,l}(\xi)$  is 1-dimensional. A choice of a  $\text{Stab}(\xi)$ -invariant measure  $\mu_{w,l,\xi}$  on  $\Sigma_{w,l}(\xi)$  determines a measure  $\mu_{w,l,\xi'}$  on  $\Sigma_{w,l}(\xi')$  for every  $\xi'$ .

**Definition.** For a continuous function  $f: \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  put

$$(\tau_{w,l}f)(\xi) = \int_{\eta \in \Sigma_{w,l}(\xi)} f(\eta) d\mu_{w,l,\xi}.$$

Since the domain of the integration is not compact, the integral may diverge. As a first step, we define the space of functions on which the integral makes sense. Note that  $\Xi$  can be regarded as an  $L_{\text{aff}}$ -torsor over the ind-object  $G(K)/I(K_2)$  in the category  $\text{pro}(C_0)$ , i.e., a compatible system of  $L_{\text{aff}}$ -torsors  $\Xi_\nu$  over the affine Schubert varieties  $Z_\nu$  forming an exhaustion of  $G(K)/I(K_1)$ . Each  $\Xi_\nu$  is a locally compact space and  $Z_\nu$  is a compact space. In particular, we can form the space  $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi_\nu)$  of locally constant complex valued functions on  $\Xi_\nu$  whose support is compact (or, what is the same, proper with respect to the projection to  $Z_\nu$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}(\Xi_\nu)$  be the space of all locally constant complex functions on  $\Xi_\nu$ . Then we define  $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi) = \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_0(\Xi_\nu)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\Xi) = \varprojlim \mathcal{F}(\Xi_\nu)$ . They are pro-objects in the category of vector spaces. In fact, because of the action of  $L_{\text{aff}}$  and its group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$  on  $\Xi$ , the spaces  $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi), \mathcal{F}(\Xi)$  are naturally pro-objects in the category of  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$ -modules.

**Proposition.** If  $f = (f_\nu) \in \mathcal{F}_0(X)$  then  $\text{Supp}(f_\nu) \cap \Sigma_{w,l}(\xi)$  is compact for every  $w, l, \xi, \nu$  and the integral above converges. Thus, there is a well defined Hecke operator

$$\tau_{w,l}: \mathcal{F}_0(\Xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Xi)$$

which is an element of  $\text{Mor}(\text{pro}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]}))$ . In particular,  $\tau_{w,l}$  is the shift by  $l$  and  $\tau_{w,l+l'} = \tau_{w,l'} \tau_{w,l}$ .

Thus we get Hecke operators as operators from one (pro-)vector space to another, bigger one. This does not yet allow to compose the  $\tau_{w,l}$ . Our next step is to consider certain infinite linear combinations of the  $\tau_{w,l}$ .

Let  $T_{\text{aff}}^\vee = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}])$  be the “dual affine torus” of  $G$ . A function with finite support on  $L_{\text{aff}}$  can be viewed as the collection of coefficients of a polynomial, i.e., of an element of  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$  as a regular function on  $T_{\text{aff}}^\vee$ . Further, let  $Q \subset L_{\text{aff}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$  be a strictly convex cone with apex 0. A function on  $L_{\text{aff}}$  with support in  $Q$  can be viewed as the collection of coefficients of a formal power series, and such series form a ring containing  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$ . On the level of functions the ring operation is the convolution. Let  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L_{\text{aff}})$  be the space of functions whose support is contained in some translation of  $Q$ . It is a ring with respect to convolution.

Let  $\mathbb{C}(L_{\text{aff}})$  be the field of rational functions on  $T_{\text{aff}}^\vee$ . Denote by  $F_Q^{\text{rat}}(L_{\text{aff}})$  the subspace in  $F_Q(L_{\text{aff}})$  consisting of functions whose corresponding formal power series are expansions of rational functions on  $T_{\text{aff}}^\vee$ .

If  $A$  is any  $L_{\text{aff}}$ -torsor (over a point), then  $\mathcal{F}_0(A)$  is an (invertible) module over  $\mathcal{F}_0(L_{\text{aff}}) = \mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$  and we can define the spaces  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_Q^{\text{rat}}(A)$  which will be modules over the corresponding rings for  $L_{\text{aff}}$ . We also write  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{rat}}(A) = \mathcal{F}_0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]} \mathbb{C}(L_{\text{aff}})$ .

We then extend the above concepts “fiberwise” to torsors over compact spaces (objects of  $\text{pro}(C_0)$ ) and to torsors over objects of  $\text{ind}(\text{pro}(C_0))$  such as  $\Xi$ .

Let  $w \in \widehat{W}$ . We denote by  $Q(w)$  the image under  $w$  of the cone of dominant affine coweights in  $L_{\text{aff}}$ .

**Theorem.** *The action of the Hecke operator  $\tau_{w,l}$  takes  $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi)$  into  $\mathcal{F}_{Q(w)}^{\text{rat}}(\Xi)$ . These operators extend to operators*

$$\tau_{w,l}^{\text{rat}} : \mathcal{F}^{\text{rat}}(\Xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{rat}}(\Xi).$$

Note that the action of  $\tau_{w,l}^{\text{rat}}$  involves a kind of regularization procedure, which is hidden in the identification of the  $\mathcal{F}_{Q(w)}^{\text{rat}}(\Xi)$  for different  $w$ , with subspaces of the same space  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{rat}}(\Xi)$ . In practical terms, this involves summation of a series to a rational function and re-expansion in a different domain.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{pre}}$  be the space of finite linear combinations  $\sum_{w,l} a_{w,l} \tau_{w,l}$ . This is not yet an algebra, but only a  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$ -module. Note that elements of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{pre}}$  can be written as finite linear combinations  $\sum_{w \in \widehat{W}} f_w(t) \tau_w$  where  $f_w(t) = \sum_l a_{w,l} t^l$ ,  $t \in T_{\text{aff}}^\vee$ , is the polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$  corresponding to the collection of the  $a_{w,l}$ . This makes the  $\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]$ -module structure clear. Consider the tensor product

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{rat}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{pre}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[L_{\text{aff}}]} \mathbb{C}(L_{\text{aff}}).$$

Elements of this space can be considered as finite linear combinations  $\sum_{w \in \widehat{W}} f_w(t) \tau_w$  where  $f_w(t)$  are now rational functions. By expanding rational functions in power series, we can consider the above elements as certain infinite linear combinations of the  $\tau_{w,l}$ .

**Theorem.** *The space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rat}}$  has a natural algebra structure and this algebra acts in the space  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{rat}}(\Xi)$ , extending the action of the  $\tau_{w,l}$  defined above.*

The operators associated to  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rat}}$  can be viewed as certain integro-difference operators, because their action involves integration (as in the definition of the  $\tau_{w,l}$ ) as well as inverses of linear combinations of shifts by elements of  $L$  (these combinations act as difference operators).

**Definition.** The regularized Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta_1)$  is, by definition, the subalgebra in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rat}}$  consisting of elements whose action in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{rat}}(\Xi)$  preserves the subspace  $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi)$ .

## 5.5. The Hecke algebra and the Cherednik algebra

In [2] I. Cherednik introduced the so-called double affine Hecke algebra  $\text{Cher}_q$  associated to the root system of  $G$ . As shown by V. Ginzburg, E. Vasserot and the author [3],  $\text{Cher}_q$  can be thought as consisting of finite linear combinations  $\sum_{w \in \widehat{W}_{\text{ad}}} f_w(t)[w]$  where  $W_{\text{ad}}$  is the affine Weyl group of the adjoint quotient  $G_{\text{ad}}$  of  $G$  (it contains  $\widehat{W}$ ) and  $f_w(t)$  are rational functions on  $T_{\text{aff}}^\vee$  satisfying certain residue conditions. We define the modified Cherednik algebra  $\check{H}_q$  to be the subalgebra in  $\text{Cher}_q$  consisting of linear combinations as above, but going over  $\widehat{W} \subset \widehat{W}_{\text{ad}}$ .

**Theorem.** *The regularized Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, \Delta_1)$  is isomorphic to the modified Cherednik algebra  $\check{H}_q$ . In particular, there is a natural action of  $\check{H}_q$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0(\Xi)$  by integro-difference operators.*

*Proof.* Use the principal series intertwiners and a version of Mellin transform. The information on the poles of the intertwiners matches exactly the residue conditions introduced in [3].

**Remark.** The only reason we needed to assume that the 2-dimensional local field  $K$  has equal characteristic was because we used the fact that the quotient  $G(K)/I(K_2)$  has a structure of an inductive limit of projective algebraic varieties over  $K_1$ . In fact, we really use only a weaker structure: that of an inductive limit of profinite topological spaces (which are, in this case, the sets of  $K_1$ -points of affine Schubert varieties over  $K_1$ ). This structure is available for any 2-dimensional local field, although there seems to be no reference for it in the literature. Once this foundational matter is established, all the constructions will go through for any 2-dimensional local field.

## References

- [1] J.-L. Brylinski and P. Deligne, Central extensions of reductive groups by  $\mathcal{K}_2$ , preprint of IAS, Princeton, available from P. Deligne's home page at [www.math.ias.edu](http://www.math.ias.edu).
- [2] I. Cherednik, Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures, *Ann. Math.* 141 (1995), 191–216.
- [3] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov and E. Vasserot, Residue construction of Hecke algebras, *Adv. in Math.* 128 (1997), 1–19.
- [4] M. Kapranov, Double affine Hecke algebras and 2-dimensional local fields, preprint math.AG/9812021, to appear in *Journal of the AMS*.

- [5] G. Lusztig, Singularities, character formula and  $q$ -analog of weight multiplicity, *Asterisque* 101-102 (1983), 208–222.
- [6] H. Matsumoto, Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés, *Ann. ENS*, 2 (1969), 1–62.
- [7] A. N. Parshin, Vector bundles and arithmetic groups I: The higher Bruhat-Tits tree, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 208 (1995), 212–233, preprint alg-geom/9605001.

*Department of Mathematics University of Toronto*  
*Toronto M5S 3G3 Canada*  
*E-mail: kapranov@math.toronto.edu*